

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

FEB 2 7 2007

## <u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Bradley J. Stamm Stamm, Reynolds & Stamm 5555 Main Street Williamsville, NY 14221

RE: MUR 5672

Jack Davis

Save American Jobs Association, Inc.

Jack Davis for Congress and Robert R. Davis, in his

official capacity as treasurer

Save Jobs Party and Jack Davis, in his official

capacity as treasurer

I Squared R Element

## Dear Mr. Stamm:

On December 12, 2006 and January 9, 2006, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated July 28, 2005, alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, ("the Act"). The Commission made the following findings:

- 1. There is no reason to believe the Save American Jobs Association, I Squared R Element, and Save Jobs Party and Jack Davis, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b by making or knowingly receiving prohibited contributions;
- 2. There is no reason to believe Davis for Congress (formerly known as Jack Davis Exploratory Committee, Inc.) and Alan J. Davis, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b by knowingly receiving prohibited corporate contributions from the Save American Jobs Association;
- 3. There is no reason to believe the Save American Jobs Association violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a by exceeding the contribution limits for affiliated committees;
- 4. There is no reason to believe I Squared R Element violated the Act.
- 5. The allegation that the Save American Jobs Association violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b by making prohibited contributions is dismissed.

Accordingly, on January 9, 2006, the Commission closed the file in this matter. Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. *See* Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426

Bradley J. Stamm MUR 5672 Save Jobs Party, et al. Page 2

(Dec. 18, 2003). The attached First General Counsel's Report outlines the bases for findings 1-4. A Statement of Reasons from one or more Commissioners will follow that will explain the basis for finding 5.

The Act allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Thomasenia Duncan Acting General Counsel

BY: Rhonda J. Vosdingh

Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

Khand Waral

Enclosure
General Counsel's Report